# Integrating Brain and Physiological Sensing with Virtual Agents to Amplify Human Perception

#### **Reza Moradinezhad**

Drexel University Philadelphia, PA 19104, USA rm976@drexel.edu

### Erin T. Solovey

Drexel University Philadelphia, PA 19104, USA erin.solovey@drexel.edu

#### Abstract

Virtual agents are used in different fields such as games, education, and rehabilitation. They make the interaction feel more natural and can even be integrated with sensing platforms to perform tasks (such as biometric identification, eye tracking, etc. [5]) that a normal human cannot do. The Advanced Interaction Research Lab at Drexel University conducts research on emerging human-computer interaction techniques, with a focus on physiological computing and brain-computer interfaces. Some current projects investigate the effectiveness of virtual assistants in improving human performance during learning and creative tasks. In this workshop, in particular, we would like to discuss the role of an embodied virtual agent in amplifying a person's perceptions of the surrounding world by integrating it with physiological and brain data.

#### Author Keywords

Human Computer Interaction; Brain Computer Interfaces; Virtual Agents; Embodied Conversational Agents; Augmented Reality.

# ACM Classification Keywords

H.5.2 [Information interfaces and presentation]: User Interfaces

Proceedings of the CHI 2017 Workshop on Amplification and Augmentation of Human Perception, May 07, 2017, Denver, CO, USA. Copyright is held by the owner/author(s).

# Introduction

With brain-computer interfaces and other biosensors, it may be possible to sense elements such as attention, concentration, boredom, fatigue, etc. which are not easy to sense using conventional interfaces such as cameras and microphones. Having this information, a virtual agent may begin to surpass a normal human's understanding during a conversation and become able to collect more information about the other person's state of mind than a human would do.

So how can we use this information to improve the interaction? Can we build agents that are able to predict that a person is going to get angry, and therefore the agent changes its behavior in a way to prevent that? Can we have virtual assistants which can tell us a person is stressed, anxious, or is not paying enough attention, although their facial expression and voice tone say otherwise? These are the type of questions that we are beginning to explore.

#### Background

Over time, human-computer interaction has evolved to be increasingly responsive. In early computers, there was limited feedback when something went wrong. Now, this has changed, with interactive systems providing meaningful hints, feedback, and error messages. This feedback provides humans with a better understanding of the state of a system and the system's expectations of the user. Nowadays, people interact with computers as intelligent beings. They write a couple of keywords in search engines and expect the computer to fully understand what they are looking for; they call their smartphones by name, ask them questions, or give them commands, and expect them to respond accordingly. Using human-like voice in computers for feedback and messages to the user has been popular since it was introduced. It can make the communication more natural and pleasant. Adding a human face to the voice can make it look even more natural. An agent that can show different facial expressions and speak with different voice tones can be a big step forward in HCI [9]. An agent that is also able to read and understand a human's emotions and state of mind is even more powerful.

But how can we make such an agent? Sensing physiological data such as heartbeat or skin conductance is a way to monitor elements like stress or anger. In addition to those, there are cameras and microphones, which do not need to be attached to one's body, and they more resemble the human's eyes and ears. Today, sensors are able to detect aspects of a human's face and voice and based on facial expressions, eye movements, and voice tone, categorize their state of mind with an acceptable accuracy. Using such algorithms alongside a virtual agent that performs a fair range of facial expressions and voice tones itself, has the potential to make interaction feel more natural and pleasant [3, 2, 6, 8, 7, 4, 1].

# **Future Scenarios**

In the following example, we investigate how brain and physiological data could be used to augment and amplify our understanding of the surrounding world. Can we make virtual agents that can tell us the state of mind and the anxiety level of a person during a job interview? Can we make Augmented Reality (AR) glasses for teachers to monitor their students' level of attention in the class? Is it possible to have air traffic control agents wear a brain-computer interface (BCI) headband so it can warn them when they are not concentrating enough or too bored or tired to work? Teacher wearing AR glasses \*Can see each student's mental state information by looking at them \*Can get feedback and suggestion from a virtual agent about the average mental state of students

Students wearing BCI headset \*Wearing a lightweight BCI headband which measures their concentration, attention, cognitive workload, etc.

**Figure 1:** A potential scenario of using BCI alongside AR to help teachers observe their students' mental state. Take this scenario as an example (Figure 1): Students in a class wear lightweight, non-invasive BCI headsets, and their teacher wears AR glasses while they are holding a regular class session. Through the glasses, the teacher is able to see each student's attention level, concentration level, cognitive load, etc., by focusing his gaze at a specific student. The particular student's information will show up on the screen of the glasses. When the teacher looks at a specific student, the glasses identify the student and retrieve the information for that specific headband and show it on the screen of the teacher's glasses. Also, a virtual agent (either an Embodied Conversation Agent (ECA) or just as a text or voice feedback) could give the teacher feedback and suggestions about the changing mental state of the students. For example, it could suggest to the teacher that a five-minute break is necessary because the average fatigue level is increasing. Or it could recommend that the teacher slow down and explain the course material with more detail because the average cognitive workload level is above average. It could also be useful in classes where students are allowed to use their electronic devices. The combination of BCI headsets and AR glasses can help the teachers to better understand which students are using their electronics to learn the material (the ones with higher concentration and cognitive work load) and which ones are using them for distraction from the class (the ones with lower level of concentration and cognitive work load).

As these types of scenarios become possible, there are new questions that arise that will impact the design of these systems. How do users feel when using such a system? When ECAs and interactive systems can go beyond typical human sensing, what new issues arise in interaction design? Are users accepting of this new level of sensing and perception? In the Advanced Interaction Research Lab at Drexel University, we are conducting research on emerging humancomputer interaction techniques, with a focus on physiological computing and brain-computer interfaces. Some current projects investigate the effectiveness of virtual assistants in improving human performance during learning and creative tasks, and we are exploring many of the questions posed above.

#### Bios

*Reza Moradinezhad* is a second year Computer Science PhD student at Drexel University. He works at AIR Lab under Dr. Solovey's supervision. His research interests are in applications of brain-computer interfaces in virtual/augmented reality and prosthetics design, as well as their role in environments in which humans and automated systems work alongside each other.

Erin T. Solovey, Ph.D. is an Assistant Professor of Computer Science at Drexel University, with a secondary appointment in the Drexel School of Biomedical Engineering, Science and Health Systems, and she directs Drexel's Advanced Interaction Research (AIR) Lab (drexelairlab.com). Dr. Solovey's research expertise is in emerging humancomputer interaction modes and techniques, such as braincomputer interfaces, physiological computing, wearable computing, and reality-based interaction. Her work has applications in areas such as driving, aviation, medicine, education, gaming, complex decision making, as well as human interaction with autonomous systems and vehicles. Her work has received several awards including three ACM CHI Best Paper Award Honorable Mentions. She serves on several editorial boards and program committees including the International Journal of Human-Computer Studies and the ACM CHI conference. She received a bachelor's degree in computer science from Harvard, and her Masters and Ph.D.

in computer science from Tufts. Before joining the Drexel faculty, she was a postdoctoral fellow in the MIT Humans and Automation Lab.

# References

- Gabor Aranyi, Florian Pecune, Fred Charles, Catherine Pelachaud, and Marc Cavazza. 2016. Affective Interaction with a Virtual Character Through an fNIRS Brain-Computer Interface. *Frontiers in Computational Neuroscience* 10 (2016).
- [2] Rachel Bawden, Chloé Clavel, and Frédéric Landragin. 2016. Towards the generation of dialogue acts in socio-affective ECAs: a corpus-based prosodic analysis. *Language Resources and Evaluation* 50, 4 (2016), 821–838.
- [3] Elisabetta Bevacqua, Ken Prepin, Radoslaw Niewiadomski, Etienne de Sevin, and Catherine Pelachaud. 2010. Greta: Towards an interactive conversational virtual companion. *Artificial Companions in Society: perspectives on the Present and Future* (2010), 143–156.
- [4] Andry Chowanda, Peter Blanchfield, Martin Flintham, and Michel Valstar. 2014. Erisa: Building emotionally realistic social game-agents companions. In *International Conference on Intelligent Virtual Agents*. Springer, 134–143.

- [5] Álvaro Hernández-Trapote, Beatriz López-Mencía, David Díaz, Rubén Fernández-Pozo, and Javier Caminero. 2008. Embodied conversational agents for voice-biometric interfaces. In *Proceedings of the 10th international conference on Multimodal interfaces*. ACM, 305–312.
- [6] Divesh Lala, Christian Nitschke, and Toyoaki Nishida. 2015. User Perceptions of Communicative and Taskcompetent Agents in a Virtual Basketball Game.. In *ICAART* (1). 32–43.
- [7] Caroline Langlet and Chloé Clavel. 2015a. Adapting sentiment analysis to face-to-face human-agent interactions: from the detection to the evaluation issues. In Affective Computing and Intelligent Interaction (ACII), 2015 International Conference on. IEEE, 14–20.
- [8] Caroline Langlet and Chloé Clavel. 2015b. Improving social relationships in face-to-face human-agent interactions: when the agent wants to know user's likes and dislikes.. In ACL (1). 1064–1073.
- [9] Magalie Ochs, Catherine Pelachaud, and David Sadek. 2008. An empathic virtual dialog agent to improve human-machine interaction. In *Proceedings of the 7th international joint conference on Autonomous agents and multiagent systems-Volume 1*. International Foundation for Autonomous Agents and Multiagent Systems, 89–96.